

REMARKS

Applicants filed their response to the outstanding first Office Action in this case yesterday, on February 20, 2007. The undersigned noted an inadvertent error in the amendment of claim 1 and submits this Supplemental Amendment to correct that error. Particularly, the Examiner will note that the previously filed amendment to claim 1 inadvertently removed from the claim the recitation that the 1,2-diazole is "substituted by one, two or three groups R^y" as well as the value for R^y. The present amendment to claim 1, therefore, returns these elements to claim 1 such that the scope of amended claim 1 is that which was discussed between the Examiner and Mr. Thomas Hamilton when the provisional election of Groups I and VI was made during the conversation that took place on August 29, 2006.

Applicants have also taken this opportunity to add new independent claim 14 to the application. New claim 14 does not add new matter to the application and finds support in the specification. Particularly, claim 14 is supported by compound Nos. 1.67 and 1.70 according to Tables 1 and 14 on pages 10-20 and 63-71, respectively.

Based upon the foregoing then, Applicants submit that the pending claims are in condition for allowance and the Examiner is courteously solicited to pass this application on to allowance. No other fees are believed to be payable at this time. However, the Commissioner is authorized to debit any applicable fees from the deposit account of the undersigned, no 50-1676 in the name of Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc.

Respectfully submitted,

USPTO Customer No. 26748
Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc.
Patent and Trademark Dept.
410 Swing Road
Greensboro, NC 27409
(336) 632-6049

/Rebecca A. Gegick/
Rebecca A. Gegick
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 51,724

Date: February 21, 2007